```
1
 1
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                    EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
            - - - - - - X
 3
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, :
 4
                   Plaintiff, : CR-12-350 (ILG)
 5
                               : United States Courthouse
             -against-
 6
                                    Brooklyn, New York
                               :
 7
    PETER LIOUNIS,
 8
                   Defendant. :
 9
                                     January 15, 2014
                                     11:30 a.m.
                  - - - - - X
10
                       TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE
11
                     BEFORE THE HONORABLE I. LEO GLASSER
12
                     UNITED STATES DISTRICT SENIOR JUDGE
13
    APPEARANCES:
14
    For the Plaintiff:
                            LORETTA E. LYNCH, ESQ.
                            United States Attorney
15
                            BY: JUSTIN LERER, ESQ.
                            Assistant United States Attorney
16
    For the Defendant:
                            MICHAEL H. GOLD, ESQ.
17
                            Standby counsel
18
19
20
    Court Reporter:
                            FREDERICK R. GUERINO, C.S.R.
21
                            225 Cadman Plaza East
                            Brooklyn, New York
2.2
                             (781) 613-2503
23
24
    Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript
25
    produced by CAT.
```

```
1
              THE COURT CLERK: Criminal cause for status
 2
     conference: The United States v. Peter Liounis.
 3
              Will the parties please state your appearances for
 4
     the record.
 5
              MR. LERER: Justin Lerer for the United States.
 6
              Good morning, your Honor.
 7
              THE COURT: Good morning.
              MR. GOLD: Standby counsel by Michael Gold for Mr.
 8
 9
     Liounis.
              Good morning, your Honor.
10
              THE COURT: Good morning.
11
12
              THE DEFENDANT: Good morning, your Honor.
13
              THE COURT: We are here at the request of the
14
     government for reasons which require, I assume, Mr. Liounis'
15
     is aware of.
16
              What is it that you want to bring to my attention,
17
     Mr. Lerer?
              MR. LERER: Your Honor, principally the defendant
18
19
     filed what he styled as a motion for the court to recuse
20
     yourself, which you already responded to. There were
21
     intimations, although not a request, difficulties
2.2
     representing himself. We reached out to Mr. Gold to inquire,
23
     and Mr. Gold told us that the defendant said he is now
24
     searching for counsel -- retaining counsel to represent him.
25
     The government's position on that is, we would want to know
```

2.2

```
by a date certain whether -- we would want to have a notice of appearance by a date certain. There are victims in this case, and the public has a right to a speedy trial.
```

In addition, the government is being put to a significant expense in booking and canceling travel. There are a lot of wheels in motion. If the defendant is going to retain a lawyer, we would request that a notice of appearance would be filed by Friday at the longest.

THE COURT: Excuse me. I have had no request by Mr. Liounis to revoke his waiver of a Sixth Amendment right to counsel. If he makes such a request to have counsel represent him, I will consider it, but I will not grant a continuance.

MR. LERER: Okay. That is also clearly within the court's discretion and amenable to the government.

THE COURT: I have no requests by counsel.

Mr. Liounis has never made a request for counsel.

MR. LERER: Your Honor, what I said was there was a concern that there would be an eleventh hour request.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. LERER: One other thing, your Honor. I just wanted to note, we filed a letter on ECF. I just wanted to put it on the record.

THE COURT: I didn't hear you.

MR. LERER: We put a letter on ECF that we sent to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
Mr. Liounis. After the status conference, Mr. Liounis
announced, when meeting with the court's deputy and the
government and Mr. Gold, that he would be putting in some 50
exhibits into evidence, and that he would be calling some ten
witnesses to the stand in his defense. We've repeatedly
asked the defendant for reciprocal discovery, for instance,
of these 50 exhibits. He has produced nothing to us. We
reiterate our request for reciprocal discovery, if he intends
to put anything into evidence. We are not asking for a
ruling right now, your Honor, on this.
         THE COURT: Anything else?
         MR. LERER: Not from the government.
         MR. GOLD: No, sir.
         THE COURT: Anything further?
         THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor gave me a deadline of
five days Friday, when we were in court, to file pretrial
motions. Today is the fifth day. I have two of them with
me, if you would like me to submit them now. The third one I
would have been working on it today and I would have had it
in the mail today, but I'm in the court. I don't know, but
if I could have an extra day or two to file -- I will tell
you which ones we are talking about.
```

```
1
              THE DEFENDANT: Maybe I'm saying it wrong.
                                                          It was a
 2
    motion in limine to preclude evidence. It was an alibi
    motion.
 3
 4
              THE COURT: Right. That was a reply to the
 5
     government's motions.
 6
              THE DEFENDANT: Correct.
 7
              THE COURT: Yes. All right.
 8
              THE DEFENDANT: Could I increase that deadline?
 9
     Like I said, I'm here today. Today's the 5th day, and you
    ordered me within five days to have it done.
10
              THE COURT: Do you have your reply here? You want
11
12
    to hand it up now?
13
              THE DEFENDANT: I have two of them here now, and I
14
    was working on the third one, but.
15
              THE COURT: All right.
              These are replies. There were four motions that the
16
17
     government has made that were outstanding.
              THE DEFENDANT: This is a CV and letter from the
18
19
     expert that goes along with that. That needs to be filed
20
    with that, please.
21
              I also have another note, your Honor. When I was in
2.2
     court Friday, I had mentioned that the issue of
23
    cross-examining the agents in good faith, when you made a
24
    ruling, I had alerted the court that that day that you made
25
     the ruling, I never received anything, and I had gotten an
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

```
e-mail the day before I came to court telling me how to
respond to something I had no idea about, and the government
is denying that. I think Mr. Gold may have even denied that.
And I actually dug through my e-mails and I found the e-mail.
Like I said, I think I should be allowed to put in a
response, in all fairness. The e-mail is right here. It's
telling me what they are saying, I will see you tomorrow, and
I don't have a clue as to what any of this is. I didn't get
the motion until way after court. Again, I brought the
e-mail to show you, and I just think I should be able to
respond to everything that is in that motion.
         THE COURT: I'm not sure I understand what it is
that you are referring to.
         There were four motions that we talked about on
Friday, that I was reminded, when I asked whether there was
still any outstanding motions. I wasn't aware that there
were any still outstanding. And I was told that there were
four outstanding motions that the government had made, which
were outstanding because you had never replied to them. So I
gave you five days within which to reply, because those
motions were filed I think months ago.
         Now you say that I have already ruled on a motion?
         What motion was it that I ruled on?
         THE DEFENDANT: On part of a motion. You could see
```

on the e-mail the 404, all of it is part of the same e-mail.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
What happened was, I came in to court, and the
government opposed me cross-examining the agents because of
some complaints that were filed. I never got a chance to
respond, or, you know, prepare myself for that, and you made
a ruling in favor of the government. What I'm trying to say
is that I would like to put something in writing to the court
in good faith that I should be able to question those agents,
if they are testifying as to the civil complaints, provide a
basis for that, in good faith.
         THE COURT: Is this one of the in limine motions
that was outstanding?
         MR. LERER: Your Honor, it is one of the in limine
motions. I think that you had ruled from the bench that
cross-examination -- it's not one of the four outstanding.
There are four still outstanding. In addition, your Honor
had already ruled on a motion in limine to preclude
cross-examination as to some agents as to some
unsubstantiated allegations against them in civil lawsuits.
I believe Mr. Liounis is saying even though you already
ruled, he would like a chance to brief the decided motion.
         THE COURT: Was that an in limine motion that had
been made?
         MR. LERER: Yes, it was.
         THE COURT: In writing?
         MR. LERER:
                     Yes, sir.
```

THE COURT: Would you please bring that motion back to my attention. And, Mr. Liounis, if you want to respond to that because you feel I never gave you an opportunity to respond to it, you can do it.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.

2.2

THE COURT: Anything else?

THE DEFENDANT: I just want to say that -- I just want to make the government understand as well, first of all, I feel I did ask the court to replace Ms. Sharkey and I was refused. More importantly, my family and friends have not just started today trying to find me an attorney. They have been trying for a very, very long time. And I think they may have just finally made a headway with raising funds, because everyone is pitching in to get me representation. I don't want the government to think that this is being done for some tactical reason or on purpose. I don't have the funds for an attorney.

THE COURT: Mr. Liounis, I don't want to review this again, but you have never made any explicit request of this court to appoint counsel for you, after you made it very clear that you wish to represent yourself.

Now, it is possible for a defendant who has waived his Sixth Amendment right to make a request for a post-waiver of that Sixth Amendment waiver. The Sixth Amendment right is not absolute, after a defendant has waived his right to

2.2

```
counsel. There is a lot of law out there, Mr. Liounis, which says that whether or not a defendant's post-waiver request for counsel will or will not be granted rests with the discretion of the court.
```

If you wish to request the court to appoint counsel for you, make it, and I will deal with it. But don't persist in telling me that you have requested counsel to be appointed, after you have waived the right to counsel, and I have denied that request. There isn't a line in any transcript that I have been able to find which suggests that. As a matter of fact, the transcript is quite clear that when you asked to represent yourself, I advised you that it's a foolish thing to do, and an unintelligent thing to do, and asked you a series of questions for the purpose of making sure whether you were competent to waive your right to counsel. I found that you were, and granted your right to receive pro se. There has not been a request by you since to have counsel appointed to revoke that waiver. If you wish to make an application to have counsel appointed, make it.

If there's nothing further, I will see you on the 22nd of January.

MR. LERER: Your Honor, could I just have clarification --

THE COURT: I want to make it quite plain that if you wish to have counsel appointed, or you are going to

```
1
     retain counsel, there will not be a continuance of the trial,
     which has been set for the 27th of January of this year.
 2
              Anything else?
 3
 4
              MR. GOLD: No, sir.
 5
              MR. LERER: No, Your Honor.
 6
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
 7
              THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor --
 8
              THE COURT: Yes?
 9
              THE DEFENDANT: The investigator -- the private
     investigator has been trying to get into the building, along
10
     with a couple of attorneys who are trying to possibly come on
11
12
     board, and we have an issue with separation. I think I
13
     mentioned this before to the court, and it's really affecting
14
     my defense. I mean, nobody can get into the building, I
15
     mean, that's the bottom line, nobody could get into the
16
     building that can assist me with this trial. I don't know
17
     what to do about it. Mr. Gold could not get into the
18
     building.
19
              THE COURT: Mr. Gold could not get into what
20
     building?
21
              MR. GOLD: If I may, your Honor. I believe what
2.2
     Mr. Liounis is referring to, he's on -- there's a separation
23
     order that has been in place for several months with another
24
     inmate. That particular inmate has multiple attorneys,
25
     apparently, and gets there at very early hours in the morning
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
and stays in the attorney visiting area throughout the course
of the day. As a result, because of the separation order,
anyone that comes thereafter can't get, as Mr. Liounis has
referred to, can't get into the building, meaning they can't
bring down Mr. Liounis to the visiting area at the same time
this other inmate is there. I can vouch for my own personal
experience, there was one time I got there and the other
attorney couldn't bring down his client because I got there
first. Knowing about this issue, so I arrived very early.
And this followed a prior occasion where I went there and I
waited over two hours and couldn't get Mr. Liounis brought
down. In fact what I wound up doing is persuading one of the
guards to bring him into the Special Housing Unit, where I
was able to see him up there. So we were able to be
accommodated that one time. But there have been occasions
where I have gone in, and because I got there second, I was
not able to see him. I believe that's what he's referring
to.
         THE COURT: I don't recall having this brought to my
attention and trying to deal with it.
         This is happening at the MDC?
        MR. GOLD: Yes, sir.
         THE COURT: Was the government aware of that?
         MR. LERER: Your Honor, I'm aware that there is a
separation which predates my time on the case.
                                                I believe it
```

```
1
     comes from -- it's for the defendant's safety due to an
 2
     attempt to cooperate against --
 3
              THE COURT: I'm not asking whether you knew there
 4
     was a separation.
 5
              MR. LERER: That it's causing problems?
 6
              THE COURT: I'm asking whether you knew Mr. Liounis
 7
     was unable to consult with counsel because of the separation.
 8
              MR. LERER: I was not aware of that, and I will,
 9
     right after this, inquire with the B.O.P. as to the details
     of the separation, if the court wants.
10
11
              THE COURT: Anything else?
12
              MR. LERER:
                         No, sir.
13
              THE COURT: Anything else?
14
              MR. GOLD: No, your Honor.
15
              THE COURT: Thank you very much.
16
              (The proceedings are concluded.)
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```